Previous references.

1903.

(Gen Finance)

Later references.

File No. 21 of 02-

Serial Nos. 1—5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

p 45(E)

Serial Nos. 1—5

Part B. ~~for~~

SUBJECT.

Budget Estimate of the Judicial Dept for the year 1903-04.

Table of contents

No I50 dated the I0th February I903

From the Govt Advocate in Mysore.

Submits the Budget Estimate of his office for I903--04 with a proposal to increase the pays of his two clerks.

No 5347/204 dated the I8th March I903

From the Registrar Chief Court of Mysore

Submits the Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department for I903--04

G. R. S. 58

No J I579 dated the 8th April I903

To The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

Points out certain discrepencies in the Budget Estimates and requests that it may be resubmitted with corrections

S1 4 No $\frac{6214}{228}$ dated the 4th May 1903

From The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

Explains the discrepencies pointe out and submits a revised Estimate.

S1 5 No F1 15-7 dated the 3rd July 1903

To The Comptroller in Mysore
The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore
& The Government Advocate.

Sanctions the Budget Estimates of the Judicial Deptt for 1903--04 for Rs 3,97,028/- and states that the provisio of Rs 5560/- forthe pay of the Govt Advocate and his establishment should be made in the Chief Court's Budget.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

REVENUE AND GENERAL, DEPARTMENTS.

Short Office Note.

* No. and date of letter, from whom, catch words to denote nature of Subject should be stated, in red ink.

One form may be used for more than one letter of the same file as long as there is space. The Office Note should be in black ink. Write only on side of this form.

*Subject

Budget estimate of the Government Advocate's office for 1903-04 receive with letter No: 150 dated 10th February 1903-~~04~~ from the Govt. Advocate.

Note

The estimate of expenditure for 1903-04 is Rs 5,560 which is the same as the sanctioned esti mate for 1902-03. This is shown under '14 Law & justice (1) Chief Cou 13-15 Pay of Govt Advocate &c'

Submitted for orders whether this may be dealt with the Budget from the Registrar, Chief Court.

S.V.
31/3/03

How was it done last y

Submitted. to the Secretary
N
1/4/03

Last year this was dealt with the Budget from the Registr Chief Court. (vide No. H 1707-8 d/ 6-5-02 & office note on th Budget from the Govt. A

The Same this year.

8/4/3

S.V.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. Budget estimates of the judicial department for 1903-04.

Letters No. 5357/204 dated 18th March and No. 6214/228 dated 4th May 1903 from the Registrar, Chief Court submitting the ——

Note. The following tabular statement shews the increase and decrease under the several heads under 'Law and justice'.

(1) Chief Court

Increase	Decrease	Reason for increase & decrease.
Rs 2012	Rs 35,600	The increase is due to the excess expenditure of Rs 2000 provided for travelling allowances and contingencies of judges for going on tours of inspection and to the annual increment ~~amount~~ of Rs 12 to the librarian. The decrease is due to the pay of the present Chief Judge being Rs 2000, to there being only two puisne judges instead of 3 as in last year, the Registrar, being ranked as a judge and to a

to witnesses, being provided for in the budget of the Bangalore Dt and Sessions Judge.

N.B. As the Registrar is attached to the Chief Court, it is not clear why his pay should be shewn under * Sub Judge's Courts.

(2) Civil and Sessions Courts

(This shd fall under Ch Ct

Increase	Decrease	Reason
Rs 17,400	Rs 21,900	The increase is due to Mr Ramaswami Iyer's promotion to 2nd grade (Vide Flag A), the pay of the Public Prosecutor, Nandidrug Dn, being Rs 150 or Rs 50 more than in the other Divisions, and to increased expenditure on account of travelling allowances owing to revival of circuit Sessions. The decrease is due to the retirement of Mr B. Thirumalachar, the reduction of Rs 1000 in the amount provided for furniture for Courts and the decrease of expenditure on account of batta to prosecutors and witnesses owing to revival of Circuit Sessions.

(1) Sub Judges' Courts

rease	Decrease	Reason
Rs 3,404	Nil	The increase is due to the establishment of 3 new Sub Judges' Courts at Hassan, Chikmagalur and Chitaldrug & the ranking of the Regr as a Sub Judge (Vide G.O. No 7859-60 d/ 13/1

B

N.B. The cost of the ~~total addl~~ Establishment sanctioned for the 3 new Sub Judges' Courts is Rs 11,844-0-0 (Vide Govt Procgs: No J.1473-4 dated 19th March 1903), but the total amount of the increase entered against 'Establishment' under the above Sub-head comes to Rs 11,744-0-0, The reason for the difference of Rs 100 is not explained.

The figure has since been altered to 11844Rs, which is the correct figure.
K
22.5.03

(5) Munsiffs' Courts

Increase	Decrease	Reason
Rs 4865	Rs ~~11,480~~ 19184	The increase is due to the pay of a Munsiff being raised to Rs 350/- per mensem and to an extra sum of Rs 665 being provided for contingencies. The decrease is said to be due to the abolition of the Munsiffs Courts at Hassan Chikmaglur Chitaldrug.

N.B. By the abolition of the Munsiffs Courts at Hassan, Chikmaglur and Chitaldrug, the cost of the clerical and menial establishments of those Courts viz, Rs 7554×, should have been shown as the decrease under establishment, but Rs 4820 is sh

× This is the correct figure, & has since been substituted
K
22.5.03

shown as the decrease. The reason for this has not been explained. +

(6) Central Nazir's ~~Court~~ Co.

Increase	Decrease	Reason
868	44	No reason given.

Vide Regr's D.O. letter recd today. "The increase might be due to extra hands engaged (?) by some Courts."
22.5.03

19. Scientific and Minor Departments

II Miscellaneous

1 Remuneration to examiners for local Service Examinations

Increase	Decrease	Reason
Nil.	Rs 34.	Owing to the death of the 2nd clerk whose pay was Rs 20-1-25.

Total increase under "Law and Justice"	Total decrease
Rs 63,249	Rs ~~73,994~~ 76,728

Net decrease Rs ~~10,845~~ 13,479.

Submitted for orders.

16/5/03

16/5/03

21.5.03

A clerk when I sent to the Chief Court to get explanations for the points marked in blue pencil has not yet been able to get them. Hence, to avoid delay this may be submitted to Secy at once.
21.5.03

Let me see this tomorrow with explns please
21/5/3

D.O. written to Mr Rajaratna Mudaliar about points on which expln is reqd. His reply awaited.
22.5.03

Please send through H Deptt
28/5/3

Note Contd.

The judicial Budget consists of the following heads under "14 Law & Justice."

1. Chief Court
2. Civil & Sessions Courts
4. Sub-judges' Courts
5. Munsiffs' Courts
6. Central Nazarat.

The total expenditure under these heads for 03-04 is estimated at Rs 3,87,168 showing a net decrease of Rs 13,479 against the estimates for the current year as explained above.

passed ... [illegible] separate order

The provision under "20 Scientific and Minor Departments" "Miscellaneous" which ~~comes under~~ is Rs 4600 against Rs 4,634 for the current year.

The expenditure of the Govt. Advocate's office for 03-04 is Rs 5560 as in the current year.

The Budget from the Registrar, Chief Court, and that from the Govt. Advocate were dealt with together and provisionally passed last year (No: 1707-8 of 6.5.02 in the file below)

× Flag A.

The Budget of the Govt Advocate is here put up.

Submitted. To the Secretary

X.V.
30/5/03

N
30/5/03

H. ... for ... [illegible] ... Let me see ... [illegible]

H. ...
1/6/03

N
1/6/03

Note continued.

The provision of Rs 1000 for "Fees to Advocates in undefended Criminal Cases" has been reduced to Rs 100, as the Chief Court has no original jurisdiction.

A new item "Fees to Advocates in Criminal Cases conducted on behalf of Govt" is shown below "Fees to Advocates in undefended Criminal Cases" under Chief Court. Provision is to be made for this item.
3000 Rs may be inserted I think.

Rs 2000 provided for "Fees to Advocates" under Civil & Sessions Courts has been raised to Rs 3000 at Rs 1000 to each court.

X

Submitted ~~to the Secretary~~

19/6/03

19/6/03

The 2nd Councillor

19/6/03

J. 283
19-6-03

Note for Council

The Govt Advocate's Budget is the same as that of last year and as usual may be dealt with and sanctioned along ~~with~~ with the Judl. Deptt Budget proper.

In the latter, the provisions made are all in accordance with sanctions except that under "Central Nazarat" there are an increase of Rs 868 & a decrease of Rs 44. Pending explanation ~~[illegible]~~ the scale may be passed. The Registrar's Dy. is not sufficiently definite.
The Registrar's Pay may be

transferred from "Sub-Judge's Courts" ~~to the~~ "Chief Court" to which [illegible] debited "the fees to Advocates in the Chief Court" may as proposed by the Secretariat be reduced from Rs 1000 to Rs 100".

No provision for "Fees to Govt advocates in Criminal Cases conducted on behalf of Govt" or for an increase under "Fees to Advocates Civil & Sessions Courts" need be made in the absence of an application in that behalf.

(But who is to make the application? The matter is before Govt. of I.)

[illegible]
20/6/03

~~2000 Rs for Sub Judges~~
~~3000 for fees to advocates in Sess. & Asstt Sess.~~
2000 Rs to Undefended Cases in Sess. Cts.
3000 fees for Govt Cases including prosns before Asstt Sess Judge.
Add 1800 instead of 500 for law repts —

Circulate
H[illegible]
25/6/03

Brought to clerk's notice
22/5/03

++
Figures passed by His Highness
Issue orders at once
2/7/03

Off. Subd
3/7/03

Noted
20/7/03

22/6/03.

[illegible]

Dear Mr Rajaratna Mudalia

There are some points in connection with the Judicial Department budget for 1903-04 (sent with your letter No: 6214/229 of 6th May 1903) which require explanation. These are as follo

1) The total of the increase entered against "establishme under the head Sub Judges Courts is Rs 11,744, while the amount sanctioned in the Proceedings of 19.3.03 is a hundred rupees more (or Rs 11,844) –

2) The decrease due to the abolition of the three Munsiffs' Courts is given as Rs 4820, instead of Rs 7554, which is the cost of the clerical & menial establishments of those Cour

3) The decrease of 44 Rs and increase of 868 Rs under Central Nazarath hav not been explained.

Will you kindly let me have the information by tomorrow, as it has been very urgently called

Encl. 2

Yours sincerely

(Sd) K.S. Chandrasekharan.

[illegible] the clerk [illegible]
try to [illegible]
make the corrections!
please [illegible]. 22.5.3

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

22. 5. 3.

Dear Mr Chandrasekhara Iyer.

Yours of yesterday's re budget.

1). & 2). The figures given by you are correct. The necess corrections will be made, as in an draft, by the beare

3). No explanations have been furnished by the lowe

[illegible]

Courts. The increase might be due to extra hands sanctioned for some Courts

Yours sincerely

C. 1118.

19

Matter for Council Meeting.

Subject.

Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department for the year 1903-'04. (including that of the Govt. Advocate's office)

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order,
H. V. Nanjundayya
Secretary.

22-6-1903

Name.	Remarks by the Councillor.
V. P. Madhava Rao, Esq., C.I.E., First Councillor. Sent 22-6-03 Received on 22-6-03 night c 352	Seen 22/6/1903.
C. Srinivasiengar, Esq., Second Councillor. Sent on Received on	Seen by Councillor
The Dewan of Mysore —	

MGCPB—300—28-11-02.

23/6/03

Pass with the mods noted

EXTRACT FROM THE ~~JOURNAL~~ Proceedings OF THE 26th MEETING
OF THE COUNCIL. of His Highness
the Maharaja held at Bangalore on
Tuesday ~~DATED~~ the 23rd June 1903

~~RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS~~ :—

XVI Subject—(clause iii of Schedule)

Budget Estimates of the State Judicial Department for 1903-04 (including that of the Govt. Advocate's office)

Opinions of the Dewan and Councillors

A sum of Rs 3,000 may be provided for fees to Advocates in Govt. cases, and to Public Prosecutors for conducting prosecutions in Sessions cases before Assistant Sessions Judges.

The provision of Rs 500 made under "Mysore Law Reports" may be raised to Rs 1,800.

The provision of Rs 5560 for the

pay of the Govt. Advocate and his Establishment, may be made in the Chief Court's Budget as was done in previous years.

With these additions, the Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department, may be sanctioned, providing for an expenditure of Rs 3,97,028.

The provision of Rs 4,600 made under "20 Scientific and Minor Departments. II Miscellaneous", for remuneration to Examiners for Local Service Examinations and the Establishment connected therewith, may also be passed.

(Sd) P.N. Krishnamurti
Dewan of Mysore.

Secretary

Submitted to His Highness

C This budget has passed through the Council and may be sanctioned. It includes only sanctioned and usual charges

30.VI.03

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

722

Subject.

Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department for 1903-04, providing for an expenditure of Rs. 3,97,028. for Judicial Department proper, and of Rs. 4600. for remuneration to Examiners, Local Service Examination.

Order.

Sanctioned

KRW

1/7/03

815 No remarks on Audit. [illegible]

2.VII.03

146

Facing Sheet for Cases included in the schedule appended to Notification No. 36 of the 8th August 1902.

Subject – Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department for the year 1903-04.

	Date.	Initial of Officer himself or responsible Deputy.
Received in the Secretariat	21.5.03	N
Submitted to Secretary ... (lastly)	19.6.03	N
Submitted by Secretary to Dewan or Councillor in-charge	19.6.03	N
Returned to Secretary	20.6.03	N
Circulated by Secretary for Council ...	22-6-03	N
Forwarded by 1st Councillor	23.6.03	R.N.
Forwarded by Councillor	—	
Received in Secretariat	23.6.03	N
Considered in Council	23.6.03	N
Submitted to the Dewan	29-6-03	
Submitted to His Highness	30.6.03	Exo.
Orders passed by His Highness	1.7.03.	N
Final Orders issued	3.7.03	N
Circulated to Councillors	6.7.03	N [illegible] 7/7/03/6
Passed on by the 2nd Councillor		[illegible] 8/7/03
Returned to Secretary by 2nd Councillor ...	8.7.03	N

No 150.

Enc No 2

OFFICE OF
Regd 8750
12 FEB 1903
9/10/3

Government Adv's Office
Bangalore Dated 10th February 03.

From
The Government Advocate
in Mysore

To
4336.
The Secretary
to the Govt: of Mysore
Revenue & Genl Depts

XX

Put up a
as note for orders
[illegible] required [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
21/2/03

I have the honor to forward herewith budget of this office for 1903-4 & I beg that reference may kindly be made to this office letter No 141 of 2d Instant.

I beg to draw your kind attention to the Proposition Statement enclosed to the letter quoted above wherein I have proposed a small increment to my Hd: Clerk as well as to the 2d Clerk of my office & an entertainment of a muchi.

I would bring to the notice of the Govt: that since the organization of the office the pay of the clerks was neither progressive nor there was a source of increase to their pay on promotion, there being only two Clerks in the office.

The preparation of records in all the Cases in which I appear are to be copied by the clerks besides attending to other Correspondences and other references made by other Departments involving Civil, Criminal and Revenue matters and the original records are so voluminous and is astonishing to say that they are prepared in so short a period and this was simply done with hopes that they expected promotions as recommended on several occasions by myself and my predecessor would be secured.

The Statement of Cases appended to to the letter above quoted clearly shows the amount of work turned out in the Office.

I would once more urge that the Head clerk H. Venkatagiriah being a hardworking and a vast experienced official deserves the promotion I have applied for as he does the duties of the manager since 1886 as also the 2d clerk

The entertainment of a Munshi though often applied for was not Sanctioned as there being no other hand to do his duties whose Services are indispensable as most of the records & other correspondences absolutely necessitates his services.

Regarding the increase applied for in the Proposition Statement I beg to point out as noted in the margin there is a saving of Rs. 350/- per mensem and the increment applied for is only Rs 45/- per mensem and thus the increment may be met out of the savings.

Scale, of the Government Advocate's office.

As it Stood before 1896.		As it Stood Since 1896		Difference
Government Advocate	Rs 500/-	Government Advocate	Rs 350	
Assistant — Do —	Rs 200/-	Head Clerk	Rs 40/-	
Head Clerk	Rs 40/-	2nd Do	Rs 20/-	
2nd Do	20/-	3 Peons	Rs 20/-	
Pay of 3 Peons	20/-			
Total	Rs 780	Total	Rs 430	Rs 350

Under these Circumstances I respectfully beg that you will be pleased to obtain sanction of the Government as applied for by me.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
S Ramaswamy
Government Advocate
in Mysore.

Enc: to No. 1508 10/2/03

[illegible]

DUE IN COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE—15TH FEBRUARY

8/2

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

ESTIMATES

OF

RECEIPTS & EXPENDITURE

OF THE

Judicial Department

FROM

1st July 1903 to 30th June 1904

Forwarded to the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore on the 12th Feby 1903

Registrar.

Date of receipt in Comptroller's Office—

Date of compilation—

NOTE.—Items of Receipts or Expenditure found wanting in the accompanying printed list, should be inserted in writing by heads of departments when preparing the estimates.

MGCPB—1-02—84

MEMO OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PREPARATION OF THE BUDGET ESTIMATES.

Particular attention should be paid to the rules laid down in Chapter 10 of the Civil Account Code, Volume I, Article 155, and also to the following points :—

Estimated Revenue should be—

(1) For what is expected to be actually received during the year, including the arrears of past years.

(2) No net receipts to be entered, but the gross transactions in full.

(3) The estimate of receipts should not be merely an arithmetical average of three years' figures. The average is a guide, but it should not be taken absolutely.

(4) Every department should provide for the whole receipt with which it deals finally.

(5) As regards Land Revenue, Forests, Excise, Mohatarfa and Sayer receipts, the following details should be furnished in a separate statement to accompany the Budget Estimates :—

A—Actuals of the Previous Year.

(*a*) Arrears at the beginning of the year.

(*b*) Current year's demand.

(*c*) Total.

(*d*) Collections—

(1) On account of the arrears of the past and previous years.

(2) On account of the current year's demand.

(*e*) Total.

B—Current Year's Estimate.

(*a*) Arrears at the beginning of the year.

(*b*) Current year's demand.

(*c*) Total demand.

(*d*) Collections—

(1) Actuals during the first eight months.

(2) Estimated collections during the subsequent months.

(3) Total collections.

(*e*) Probable balance at the end of the current year.

C—Estimate for the Ensuing Year.

(*a*) Arrears at the beginning of the year.

(*b*) Demand proper of the year.

(*c*) Total demand.

(*d*) Estimated collections—

(1) On account of arrears.

(2) On account of the year's demand.

(3) Total of (1) and (2).

Estimated Disbursements should be—

(1) For what is expected to be actually paid (under proper sanction) during the year including the arrears of past years—not for the demand or the liabilities falling due within the year. In no case net charges to be entered, but the gross transactions in full.

(2) Full amount of the sanctioned scale should be shown.

(3) The columns of No. must be carefully filled in, and the rate of pay should be stated.

(4) The estimates for varying charges, such as contingencies, travelling allowance, and the like should be made by taking the average of three years' figures only as a guide, but not absolutely.

(5) No new charge to be entered that has not been sanctioned by competent authority. The No. and date of order sanctioning fresh charges included in the estimates for the first time, must invariably be quoted. New or additional charges proposed, which are not sanctioned by Government, will be disallowed by this office.

(6) Every department will provide for the whole charge with which it deals finally.

NOTES.

1. Full explanation for the variation in the estimates with previous year's estimate should be given opposite such items.

2. The districtwar details for the estimates of receipts and expenditure of the Forest, Excise, Registration, Judicial, Police, Muzrai and Medical Departments, which are reviewed and consolidated by their respective heads of departments or controlling officers, may be prepared as heretofore separately in manuscript and attached to the printed form wherein the totals are given.

3. No supplemental estimates will be attended to by this office if not received by the end of March.

E. R. SUBROYER,

Comptroller.

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL COURTS.

ESTIMATES—Revenue and Receipts for the year ending 30th June 190

	Proposed estimate for	Sanctioned estimate for	Difference		Explanatory remarks for increase or decrease in estimates proposed
			Increase	Decrease	
XVI. SCIENTIFIC AND MINOR DEPT.					
(6) MISCELLANEOUS.					
Fees for Local Service Examination					
Fees for Pleaders' Examination					
Total (6), Miscellaneous ...					

Judicial Dept.

The 190 Registrar.

	Proposed estimate for		Sanctioned estimate for		Difference		Explanatory remarks for increase or decrease in estimates proposed
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	Increase	Decrease	
Brought forward ...							
(4) *Sub-Judges' Courts.*							
1 Pay of Sub-Judge							
2 Local allowance of ditto							
3 Establishment—							
(*a*) Sheristadar							
(*b*) English clerks, munshis, record-keepers and nazirs ...							
(*c*) Servants ...							
(*d*) Central Records establishment ...							
4 Travelling allowance to Officers ...							
5 Do to establishments ...							
6 Contingencies ...							
7 Law charges ...							
8 Furniture ...							
Total (4), Sub-Judges' Courts							
Carried over							

year ending 30th June 190

	Proposed estimate for		Sanctioned estimate for		Difference		Explanatory remarks for increase or decrease in esti-
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	Increase	Decrease	
Brought forward ..							
(5) *Muniffs' Courts.*							
1 Pay of Munsiffs—							
(a) 1st class at Rs. 300 ...							
(b) 2nd class at Rs. 250 ...							
(c) 3rd class at Rs. 150 to 200 ...							
2 Establishment—							
(a) Clerks and Munshis from Rs. 40 to 20							
(b) Gumastas at Rs. 15 ..							
(c) Servants from Rs. 6 to 4 ...							
3 Travelling allowance of Munsiffs ...							
4 Travelling allowance to establishment ...							
5 Contingencies ...							
6 Miscellaneous ...							
Total (5) Munsiffs' Courts ..							
(6) *Central Nazir Courts*							
1 Central Nazirat Establishment—							
(a) Central Nazir and Deputy Nazir at Rs. 50 & 40 ...							
(b) Process service establishments ...							
(c) Ditto temporary ...							
2 Contingencies ...							
Total (6) Central Nazir Courts ...							
Total (14) Law and Justice ...							
Carried over ...							

Government Advocate's Office.	English Department.			
	Head clerk at Rs 40/-	2nd clerk at Rs 20/-	Servants.	
			Peons at Rs 7/-	Peon at Rs 6/-
Bangalore.	1.	1.	2.	
Total	1	1	2	

Prepared & appended as per circular issued by the Comptroller

Bangalore
6/2/83

S. Ramaswami
Government Advocate.

No: $\frac{5357}{204}$ Ens

$\frac{10353}{J\ 2197}$

Bangalore 18th March 1903.

82

From,

V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar Esquire,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq., M.A., M.L.,
Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir

I am directed to forward a copy of the Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department for the year 1903-4 sent in to the Comptroller this day.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

V. Rajaratnamudaliar
Registrar

②

To,

51 8

The Registrar,
Chief Court.

Dated 8. 4. 03,

No J 15 79 El. 1.

Sir,

In returning herewith the Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department for 1903-04, received with your letter No 5-357/204 dated 18th March 1903 I am directed to ~~make~~ request ~~this~~ explanation on the following ~~observations~~ points:—

(1.) Under sub-head '(1) Chief Court', the sanctioned estimate for 1902-03 as per printed budget of that year, is Rs1,1586, while in the draft Budget now submitted it is

Shown as Rs 1,30,104. ~~This difference requires explanation.~~

(2). Under Sub-head 6(2), Civil and Sessions Courts the proposed total estimate for 1903-1904 is entered as Rs 76,356 while the total of the ~~column~~ figures entered against each item comes to Rs 75,856. ~~This mistake requires correction.~~ Also the total sanctioned estimate for 1902-03 under the above head is entered as Rs 80,356 while it is Rs 80,956 in the printed budget of that year. ~~This difference should be explained.~~

(3). Under 6(4) Sub judges Courts, the sanctioned estimate for 1902-03 is entered as Rs 21,742 while the same as given in the printed budget of that year is Rs 21,819. ~~The cause of this difference~~

should be explained. Under this head also the proposed estimate for 1903-04 is shown as Rs 57,246 while the total of the several items comes to Rs 57,746. This ~~[illegible]~~ difference requires elucidation.

(4) Under 6(5) Munsiffs Courts, the ~~[illegible]~~ total sanctioned estimate for 1902-03 is Rs 1,04,856 while Rs 1,05140 is entered in the draft budget now submitted. This difference also requires explanation.

(5) Under 6(6), Central Nazir Courts, the total sanctioned estimate for 1902-03 is Rs 62,122 ~~is~~ while the same is shown as Rs 62281 in the draft budget for 1903-04. The reason for this difference ~~is~~ should be explained.

2- Reasons should also be given for the decrease of Rs 656 shown under ~~[illegible]~~, Process Service Establishments and 2

2. Contingencies

21. A copy of the Comptrollers Circular No 2009 B&R 40 dated 14th February 1903 quoted in the statement should be submitted for reference.

I have the

31/3/03

6/4/03

Secretary

1-4-03

N 6214/228 Rev: 3   H/1633. / J. 2417   18

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE Bangalore 4th May 1903

From,

V. A. Rajaratna Mood^r Esqr:

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

To, H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. M.A., M.L.

Secretary to Government,

Genl: & Rev: Departments

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J 1579 dated 8th April 1903 returning the Budget Estimates of the Judicial Department^s for 1903-04 and requesting explanations on certain discrepancies therein pointed out, I am directed to state that in most cases the discrepancies arose in taking up figures of actual expenditure incurred during the year 1902-03 instead of the Estimates sanctioned in the Printed Budget of that year.

A memo explaining the discrepanci

under sub heads 1, 2 and 3 is herewith forwarded.

As regards the difference of Rs 324 pointed out under sub head 4 I am to state that the figures furnished by munsiffs for the year 1902-03 were the actual expenditure incurred by them during that year and the consolidated Budget of this court was prepared in accordance with the figures furnished by them. As they are embodied in the consolidated District Estimates, they could not now be verified with the Budget figures of that year. The figures given in the sanctioned Budget have therefore been adopted for figures under a, b and c under this sub head and necessary corrections made in the draft Budget.

The mistakes in totals under sub heads 2 and 4 (Sub judges) have been corrected.

A fresh copy of the Budget Estimates with the corrections as indicated above is submitted.

A printed copy of Comptroller's Circular no. 2009 B and R 40 dated 14th February 1903 published in the Mysore Gazette Part II dated 19th February 1903 page 192. is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant;
Registrar

Sub head (1) Chief Court — The difference between the figures in the draft Budget and the Sanctioned Budget for 1902-03 as pointed out by Government is Rs 130104-115864 or Rs 14240

Amount of actuals added to the sanctioned items are:-

1. On account of salary of an additional Judge of the Chief Court	18000
2. - do - of Public Prosecutor - - -	1800
Total Rs	19800
Deduct amount of pay of Government advocate and his Establishment as also contingencies which have not been included in the draft Budget	5560
Balance Rs	14240

Sub head (2) Civil & Sessions Courts — The difference between the figures in the Sanctioned Budget and the draft Budget is Rs 600

1. Amount of actual pay as per draft Budget drawn by Sessions Judge calculated at Rs 800 -	9600
Amount of Sessions Judges pay as per Sanctioned Budget at Rs 700/-	8400
Difference Rs	1200
2. Amount shown in the Sanctioned Budget on account of Public Prosecutors pay at Head Quarters	Rs 1800
Amount for - do - in the draft	Rs "
	1800

Difference between items 1 and 2 Rs 1800 - 1200 = Rs 600

Sub head (3) Subordinate Judges Courts } The difference pointed out between the draft and the sanctioned Budget is Rs 77

1. Amount allowed in the Budget for servants for the two Sub Courts at Rs 648 each - - . } Rs 1296

Amount of actuals at Rs 648 for Mysore and Rs 624 for Bangalore . - - . - } Rs 1272

Difference Rs 24

2 Amount allowed for Law Charges in the sanctioned Budget for each of the Courts of Bangalore and Mysore at Rs 27 and 33 - } 60/-

Actuals as per draft Budget . . . . Nil

Difference Rs 60

Total amount deducted in the draft Budget - - . - . } 60 + 24 = 84

Deduct { Amount of contingencies as per draft Budget - } 490
{ - do - as per sanctioned Budget - 483

7

Deduct extra amount allowed in this Sub head from the deductions as above 84 - 7 = 77

Registrar

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Circular No. 2009—*B. & R.* 40, *dated* 14*th February* 1903.

To—All Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Government having, in para 19, Part I, Chapter II of the Financial Rules, referred to in para 3 of Government Order No. 1150-1192—18-1901-1902, dated 7th instant, directed that details should be furnished in the budget for contingent charges, the undersigned has the honor to request that all Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners of Districts to furnish details under the heads noted below for contingent charges in their budget estimates for the year 1903-04.

Such of the Officers as have already forwarded their estimates will be so good as to furnish the same details in a separate communication.

1. Purchase of Furniture. — This includes—
    - (*a*) Purchase of European articles each costing less than Rs. 5.
    - (*b*) Purchase of European articles each costing not less than Rs. 5.
    - (*c*) Purchase of country articles each costing less than Rs. 10.
    - (*d*) Purchase of country articles each costing not less than Rs. 10.
    - (*e*) Record racks, boards, etc.
    - (*f*) Rattan mats and blinds.
    - (*g*) Table cloth.
    - (*h*) Office scales and weights.
2. Purchase of Books.
3. Repairs to furniture.
4. Liveries and clothing of servants.
5. Tour charges. — This includes—
    - (*a*) Tolls.
    - (*b*) Packing charges.
    - (*c*) Petty carriage and cooly charges.
    - (*d*) Carriage of stationery and records.
6. Hot and cold weather charges.
7. Cleaning charges. — This includes—
    - (*a*) Sweepers' allowances.
    - (*b*) Scavengering expenses.
8. Rents, rates and taxes.
9. Office expenses. — This includes—
    - (*a*) Peons' belts and badges.
    - (*b*) Lighting charges.
    - (*c*) Cloth for records.
    - (*d*) Binding charges.
    - (*e*) Advertisements.
    - (*f*) Window delivery ticket.
    - (*g*) Prickly-pear destruction charges.
    - (*h*) Dhoby and barbers' charges.
    - (*i*) Supply of drinking water.
    - (*j*) Money Order commission.
    - (*k*) Gardening charges.
10. Postage and Telegram charges.
11. Petty repairs to buildings.
12. Miscellaneous. — If any amount is provided under this head, the object for which it is intended should be specified.

E. R. Subroyer, *Comptroller.*

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL COURTS.

ESTIMATES—Revenue and Receipts for the year ending 30th June 190

	Proposed estimate for		Sanctioned estimate for		Difference		Explanatory remarks for increase or decrease in estimates proposed
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	Increase	Decrease	
		Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
XII—LAW AND JUSTICE.							
CIVIL AND CRIMINAL COURTS.							
1 Sale proceeds of unclaimed and escheated property ...							
2 Recoveries on account of pauper suits							
3 Fees, fines and forfeitures ...							
4 Savings and refunds							
5 Miscellaneous							
Total XII Civil & Crml. Courts...							

Judicial Dept.

The   190   Registrar.

	Proposed estimate for 1903-4		Sanctioned estimate for 1902-3		Difference		Explanatory remarks for increase or decrease in estimates proposed.
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	Increase	Decrease	
		Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
15—LAW AND JUSTICE.							
(1) *Chief Court.*							
1 Pay of Chief Judge at Rs. 2,500 ...	1	24000	1	30000 ✓	..	(1) 6000	(1) Owing to the pay of the present Chief Judge being Rs 2000, Mr Justice Best was drawing Rs 2500.
2 Pay of other Judges at Rs. 1.500 each	2	36000	3	54000	..	(2) 18000	(2) There are only 2 puisne Judges now. Last year there were 3 for some time
3 Pay of Registrar Rs. 300-25-400 ...	-	-	1	4800 ✓	..	(3) 4800	(3) Ranked as a Sub Judge
4 Pay of Deputy Registrar at Rs. 200 to Rs. 250	1	3000	1	3000 ✓	..	..	
5 Establishment—							
(a) Assistant Registrar and Manager at Rs. 150 to 200 ...	1	2400	1	2400 ✓	..	..	
(b) Clerks from Rs. 115 to 20 ..	27	13860	27	13860	..	..	
(c) Translators from Rs. 100 to ~~45~~ 40	7	5340	7	5340 ✓	..	..	
(d) Librarian at Rs. ~~25~~ 20-1-25	1	252	1	240	(4) 12	..	(4) Owing to annual increments.
(e) Servants from Rs. ~~20~~ 15 to 5 ...	46	4164	46	4164	--	--	
6 Travelling allowance to officers and establishment ...		2500	..	1500	(5) 1000		(5) & (6) The increases are necessary to meet the travelling expenses of Judges & Establishment going on tours of inspection and contingent charges connected therewith such as conveyance charges for tents &c.
7 Contingencies		3000	..	2000	(6) 1000		
8 Batta to witnesses		..	--	5000	..	(7) 5000	(7) Provided for in the Budget of the Dist & Sessions ~~Court~~ Judge Bangalore D°
9 Mysore Law Reports... ...		500	..	~~500~~ 1800	..		
10 Printing records in criminal cases		500	..	500	..		
11 Fees to advocates in undefended Crl. Cases.		1000	..	1000	..		
12 Pay of ~~Government Advocate~~ Public Prosecutor. ...	-	-	1	1800	-	(8) 1800	(8) D° D°
13 Establishment of ditto ...							
14 Contingencies of ditto ...							
Total (1) Chief Court ...	86	96,516	89	1,30,104	2,012	35,600	
Carried over ...	86	96,516	89	1,30,104	2,012	35,600	

95516
1300
-7816

year ending 30th June 190

	Proposed estimate for 1903-4		Sanctioned estimate for 1902-3		Difference		Explanatory remarks for increase or decrease in estimates proposed.
	No.	Amount	No	Amount	Increase	Decrease	
Brought forward ...	86	Rs. 96,516	89	Rs. 1,30,104	Rs. 2,012	Rs. 35,800	(1) Owing to Mr Tirumalachar's retirement.
(2) *Civil and Sessions Courts.*							(2) Owing to Mr Ramaswamy Iyer's promotion to 2nd Grade.
1 Pay of Sessions Judges—							
(a) 1st grade at Rs. 1,200 ...	..		1	14,400 ✓		(1) 14,400	(3) The pay of the Public Prosecutor of the Bangalore Dt. is Rs 150/- while in the other two is Rs 100.
(b) 2nd grde at Rs. 1,000 ...	1	12,000	✓..	-	(2) 12 000		
(c) 3rd grade at Rs. 800 ...	2	19,200	2	19,200	-	-	
2 Local allowance to Dist. Judges at Rs. 150 ...	..	1800	..	1800 ✓			(4) Owing to the revival of circuit Sessions.
3 Establishment—							(5) Do. Do. (6) Do. Do.
(a) Sheristadar and Nazir and Public Prosecutor at Rs. 100 to Rs. 150 ...	6	8 100	✓ 6	7500	(3) 600		(7) Vide comptroller's circular No 2009/B&R 40 dated 14-2-1903.
(b) Assistant Nazir at Rs. 35 ...	-	-	-	-	-		
(c) Head Munshi and Interpreter at Rs. 70 ...	3	2520	✓ 3	2520 ✓	-		(8) Rs 1500 was Sanctioned for the Court of the Dt. & Sessions Judge, Hassan, last year — being a new court, now reduced by Rs 1000/.
(d) Deputy Munshi and Translator at Rs. 50 ...	3	1800	✓ 3	1800 ✓	-	-	
(e) Clerks and munshis from Rs. 60 to 20 ...	18	6780	✓ 18	6780 ✓	-	-	
(f) Record-keepers from Rs. 50 to Rs. 15 ...	6	2 700	✓ 6	2700 ✓		-	
(g) Servants ...	39	3 456	✓ 39	3456 ✓			
4 Temporary establishment ...				-			
5 Travelling allowance to officers ...	-	4500	✓	1900	(4) 2600		
6 Ditto to establishment ...		3 300	✓	1700	(5) 1600		
7 Batta to prosecutors and witnesses ...		3500	✓	10,000		(6) 6500	
8 Contingencies and circuit expenses		2800	✓	2 200	(7) 600		
9 Furniture ...		1200	✓	2 200		(8) 1000	
10 Fees to ~~Public Prosecutor~~ Advocates & Vakils in criminal cases ...		2000	✓	2000	-	-	
11 Law charges ...		200	✓	200 ✓	-	-	
Total (2) Civil and Sessions Courts ..	78	75,856	78	80 356	17 400	21 900	
Carried over ...	164	1,72,372	(167)	2,10,460	19,412	57,500	

	Proposed estimate for 1903-4		Sanctioned estimate for 1902-3		Difference.		Explanatory remarks for increase or decrease in estimates proposed.
	No.	Amount	No.	Amount	Increase.	Decrease.	
		Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Brought forward	164	1,72,327	167	2,10,480	19,412	57500	
Sub Judges and Registrar, Chief Court							(1) Owing to the establishment of 3 new Sub Judges Courts & Registrar, Chief Court being ranked as Sub Judge.
Sub Judge 1st (1) ~~Class~~ Class @ Rs 600.	1	6600	1	6000	600	-	
Do. 2nd Class @ Rs 500	3	18,000	-	-	18,000		
Do. 3rd Class @ Rs 450 ~~1 Pay of S. J. Class~~	2	10,800	-	4,800	6,000	-	
2 Local allowance of ditto	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2) Vide Comptroller's Circular No 2009/B.H. 40 of 14-2-03
3 Establishment—							
(a) Sheristadar	5	3,600	2	1440	(1) 2160		(3) Owing to the establishment of 3 new Sub Courts
(b) English clerks, munshis, record-keepers and nazirs	47	13380	20	5640	7740		× This head may be brought under sub head 2 as the Central Records Establishment is under Civil & Sessions Judge
(c) Servants	40	3216	16	1272	1944		
× (d) Central records establishment	× 6	1500	6	1500	-		
4 Travelling allowance to officers	.	500	.	200	300		
5 Do to establishments		250	-		250		
6 Contingencies including Central Records		1000	-	490	(2) 510		
7 Law charges	-	-	-	-	-		
8 Furniture		1000		400	(3) 600		
Total (4) Sub Judges' Courts	104	59,746	46	21742	38104		
(5) *Munsiffs' Courts.*							
1 Pay of Munsiffs—							
(a) 1st class at Rs. ~~300~~ 350	1	4200	.	-	4200		(1) Vide Govt Pro: No. 91212-13 (1) d' 12-2-1903.
(b) 2nd class at Rs. ~~250~~ 300	4	14,400	4	14,400	-		
(c) 3rd class at Rs. 250 ~~to 200~~	5	15000	7	21 000	-	6000	
(d) 4th Class from Rs 150 to Rs 200	6	72 600	8	78 000	.	5400	2 Owing to the abolition of the Munsiffs Courts at Hassan, Chikmagalur & Chitaldroog.
2 Establishment—							
(a) Clerks and munshis from Rs. 40 to 20	83 ~~89~~	23695 ~~25080~~	99 ~~95~~	28105 ~~26940~~	-	(2)	
(b) Gumastas at Rs. 15	51 ~~50~~	9210 ~~8980~~	61 ~~86~~	11010 ~~10620~~	-	~~4820~~	
(c) Servants from Rs. 6 to 4	101 ~~95~~	6840 ~~6972~~	119 ~~114~~	8184 ~~8232~~		7554	
3 Travelling allowance of Munsiffs and establishment	-	650	✓	650	.	.	
Carried over	268	2,32,218	213	2,32,202	57676	57,500	

year ending 30th June 190

	Proposed estimate for 1903-4		Sanctioned estimate for 1902-3		Difference		Explanatory remarks for increase or decrease in estimates proposed.
	No.	Amount.	No.	Amount	Increase	Decrease	
		Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Brought forward ...	268	2,32,218	213	2,32,202	57,576	57,500	(3) Vide Comptroller's Circular No: 2009/ B.O.R. 40 D. 16.2.03.
4 Contingencies	-	3,409	✓	2,744	(3) 665		
5 Miscellaneous	-	2000	✓	2230	-	(4) 230	(4) Owing to the abolition of the Munsiff's Courts at ~~Hassan~~ Chikmagalur & Chitaldroog.
Total (5) Munsiffs' Courts ...	251 ~~250~~	92004 ~~93,231~~	298 ~~313~~	106323 ~~104,816~~	4,865	19184 ~~16,450~~	
(6) Central Nazarat.							
1 Central Nazarat establishment—							
(a) Central Nazirs at Rs. 50 & 40 (b) Process service establishments	540	62,196	539	61328	868	—	
(c) Ditto temporary ...	-		-		-		
2 Contingencies	—	750	-	794	-	44	
Total (6) Central Nazarat ...	540	62,946	539	62,122	868	44	
Total (15) Law and Justice ...	1059 ~~1058~~	A 387168 ~~3,88,290~~	1050 ~~1065~~	400647 ~~3,99,140~~	63249 ~~63,149~~	76728 ~~73,994~~	
		~~Net decrease.~~			~~Rs~~	~~10,845~~	
20—SCIENTIFIC AND MINOR DEPARTMENTS.							
II—Miscellaneous							
1 Remuneration to Examiners for Local Service Examinations ...	-	4000	-	4000	-	-	
2 Establishment	2	600	2	634	-	× 34	× Owing to the death of the 2nd Clerk whose pay was 20-1-25.
3 Travelling allowance							
4 Contingencies							
5 Mysore Civil Service Examination charges							
6 Refund of examination fees ...		✓					
Total 20 (II) Miscellaneous ...	2	4600	2	4634	-	34	

Judicial Dept.

The 6th May 1903.

Nagaratnam

Registrar.

A. 387168
3000 Fees to Advocate in Govt Cases & to Public Prosecutors for conducting prosecutions in Sessions Cases before Asst: Sessions Judges
1300 Increase to "Mysore Law Reports"
5560 Govt: Advocate's Budget
Total 397,028

28

Read

Sl 5

Budget estimates of expenditure of the Govt Advocate's Office for 03·04. receive with letter no: 150 of dated 10th February 190 from the Govt Advocate in Mysore.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter no: 6214/228 dated 4th May 1903 from the Registrar of the Chief Court, Mysore, submitting the Budget estimates of the Judicial Department for 1903-04.

No. 7l 15-7
D

D 3.7.03
3

Order thereon:—

The proposed estimate of expenditure of the Judicial Dept for 03·04 as given in the Budget received from the Registrar, Chief Court ~~are~~ is as follows:—

(1)	Chief Court	96,516
(2)	Civil & Sessions Courts	75,856
(4)	Sub-judges' Courts	59,846
(5)	Munsiffs' Courts	92004
(6)	Central Nazarat	62,946
	Total	3,87,168

A sum of Rs 3,000 should be provided for fees to Advocates in Govt Cases and to Public Prosecutors for conducting prosecutions in Sessions cases before Assistant Sessions judges.

3000
1300
5560
9860 ✓

The provision of Rs 500 made ~~under~~ for "Mysore Law Reports" under 'Chief Court' is raised to Rs 1800/-

The provision of Rs 5560 for the pay of the Govt Advocate and his establishment should be made in the Chief Court's Budget as was done in previous years

With the above addition the Budget estimates of the Judicial Department providing for an expenditure of Rs 3,97,028 are sanctioned and forwarded to the Compr:, Mysore Govt, for incorporation in the State Budget.

copy sent by me

The provision of Rs 4600 made under "20 Scientific and Minor Departments ~~(II)~~ (11) Miscellaneous" for remuneration to Examiners for Local Service Examinations and the establishment connected therewith ~~as~~ is also sanctioned.

Issue to am
1 Cir.
3/7/03 3/7/03

3/7/3

Secretary

To 1. The Comptroller, M.G.
2. " Registrar, Chief Court, Mys
3 " Govt: Advocate in Mysore